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Background

• SPP is an RTO in the central US
• Within SPP is the 159.1 MW “Grand” windfarm
  • Output travels through 2 outlet transmission paths
The Need for Curtailment

• An SPP Wind Integration Study showed with normal conditions and N-1 limits, one or the other line would become overloaded.

• To address these situations, up to 48.7 MW (~30%) of Grand’s power production must be curtailed.
Initial Solution

- In the first three months after the curtailments were ordered, over 50,000MWh were curtailed.
  - Loss of over $1 million in revenue
  - Loss of over $1 million in production tax credits
- Grand’s owner: this “hurts us, our off-taker and the market efficiency”
- Grand’s owner requested SPP to implement a Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) be put in place to reduce the curtailments
Proposed Fast Reaction RAS Scheme

• Basic RAS Logic
  • Monitor the three, at issue, N-1 lines (PCC-H, PCC-N, N-S)
  • For any line trip, the RAS would immediately trip CBs in Grand to curtail 49.7MW of generation capacity
  • SPP undertook detailed studies to ensure the proposed scheme would work, would have minimal likelihood of mis-operation and had no unintended consequences.
Approach Summary

• Both the Preemptive Order and the Reactive RAS did the same thing...

• Used curtailment as a means to address N-1 conditions caused by:
  • Fixed capacity line capacity ratings, during
  • Periods of high wind farm output, resulting from
  • Windy conditions
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• *Is there another way that takes into account the fact that windy conditions also cool the transmission line conductors?*
FORECASTING

• Accurate models for load forecasting are essential to the operation of a utility
  • Next day loads can usually be predicted to within 1-3%

• Statistic-based numerical weather prediction (NWP) models utilize weather data to forecast wind energy day out output
We FORECAST these because they vary due to weather.
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Dynamic Line Rating

- Line ratings are based on environmental factors including wind speed and direction
- Static ratings use very conservative values for these environmental conditions
- Many utilities recognize this by using seasonally adjusted ratings.
  - The lines in question have separate Summer and Winter ratings.
- DLR techniques have revealed that based on real-time weather, significant additional line capacity exists most of the time
- BUT....this is real-time
Transmission Capacity Forecasting

• Some next generation DLR systems also include transmission capacity forecasting (TCF) capability

• TCF uses:
  • Learned conductor behavior
  • Learned weather forecast to actual weather conditions
  • Advanced statistical engine to correlate the above

• The result are 2- to 48-hour transmission capacity forecasts with 98% confidence factors
Is It Windy Enough for TCF to Work

- The worst case line needs **147.4% of Static** to avoid any curtailment.
- **3.0 m/sec (6.7mph)** ground wind speed delivers 150% of line static rating
- Ave Annual Wind Speed is 6.8 m/sec
- Lowest Monthly Ave Wind is 3.3m/sec
- Analysis shows **9.6 m/sec** ground wind speed is needed to produce max wind farm output
- All lines are perpendicular to prevailing wind pattern, maximizing cooling effect
TCF with Pre-Emptive Curtailment

A. Develop 36-hour ahead forecast of line capacities
   • Provides for 24-hour day ahead operation
   • Additional 12 hours for market setting and clearing activities
B. Take day ahead forecasted wind farm output to forecast flows on lines of concern during N-1
C. If A<B, then order pre-emptive curtailment
D. Alternatively, order a lower level curtailment to match A and B
TCF with RAS Reactive Curtailment

Recall the RAS was to curtail within cycles of line tripping A. Develop 4-hour ahead forecast of line capacities B. Take day ahead forecasted wind farm output to forecast flows on lines of concern during N-1 • If A>B, then INHIBIT curtailment IF an N-1 event occurs • Refresh signals periodically to continue to inhibit or allow curtailment to be issued.

Supervision of RAS by TCF Forecast

- True if N-1 active
- Compute N-1 Overload MVA
- 4-Hour TCF forecast MVA
- A>B?
- Activate RAS

Refresh signal every 60 minutes
Enhancing RAS Curtailment with TCF

Recall the RAS was to curtail within cycles of line trip.

C. Develop 6-hour ahead forecast of line capacities
   • Check if A is close to the forecast flows on lines of concern during N-1
   • If A\textless{}B, then pre-emptively reduce wind farm output so that A>B to avoid initiating the instantaneous RAS curtailment in the event of a N-1 event

### Diagram

- **6-Hour TCF forecast MVA**
- **4-Hour TCF forecast MVA**
- **Compute N-1 Overload MVA**
- **A>B?**
- **A>C?**
- **Supervision and Tapering of RAS by TCF Forecast**
- **Activate RAS**

*Refresh signal every 60 minutes*
## Who Pays | Who Benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Who Pays</th>
<th>Financial Benefit</th>
<th>Operational Benefit</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TO</td>
<td>• If NITS, None</td>
<td>Enhancement to asset capabilities</td>
<td>Possible addition to rate base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• If not, transmission revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTO</td>
<td>None; Not able to pay</td>
<td>• Great situational awareness</td>
<td>Must socialize cost if orders installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• More flexibility in power export</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Less congestion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WF</td>
<td>• Energy sales</td>
<td>Less wear and tear on equipment due to curtailment</td>
<td>Must negotiate with TO to install and operate to forecasted levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• PTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

• TCF systems can effectively address transmission constraints that result in curtailment of wind farm output
  • Can supplant and/or enhance traditional curtailment methods

• Allocating costs of deploying and integrating TCF systems is not well defined

• TCF systems, once installed, provide additional operation benefits to TOs and RTOs